EXHIBIT A

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 799-2 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 2 of 12

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 1 of 9

IN	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
	FOR	THE DE	STRICTO	F DELAW	ARE

LG.PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD.,)
Plaintiff,)
v,) Civil Action No. 04-343 (JJF)
TATUNG COMPANY	<i>)</i>)
TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.;)
AND VIEWSONIC CORPORATION,)
)
Defendants)

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that copies of defendant Tatung Company's and Tatung Company of America, Inc.'s Notice of Deposition of Rebecca Goldman Rudich a copy of which is attached hereto were served on February 28, 2007 upon the following counsel of record via electronic mail and Federal Express at the addresses indicated below:

Richard D. Kirk, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue #900 Wilmington, DE 19899 Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire
Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899

Gaspare J. Bono, Esquire Rel S. Ambrozy, Esquire Lora A. Brzezynski, Esquire Cass W. Christenson, Esquire McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington D.C. 20006 Tracy R. Roman, Esquire Raskin Peter Rubin & Simon LLP 1801 Century Park East Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 2 of 9

Scott R. Miller, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Of Counsel:
Christopher Darrow
Mark H. Krietzman
Frank E. Merideth, Jr.
Alan R. Maler
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Telephone: 310-586-7700

Kathryn L. Clune Greenberg Traurig LLP 800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006

Dated: March 1, 2007

Frederick L. Cottrell, JH (#2555)
Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
P.O. Box 551
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 651-7700
cottrell@rlf.com
gaza@rlf.com
Attorneys for Defendants Tatung Company
and Tatung Company of America Inc

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 799-2 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 4 of 12 ** age 1 01 2

Bialas, Monika (Secy-LA-IP)

From: ded_nefreply@ded.uscourts.gov

Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2007 12:32 PM

To: ded ecf@ded.uscourts.gov

Subject: Activity in Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF LG.Philips LCD Co. v. Tatung Co., et al Notice of Service

'his is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this enail because the mail box is unattended.

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS* You may view the filed documents once without charge. To avoid a ter charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

U.S. District Court

District of Delaware

lotice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered by Gaza, Anne on 3/1/2007 at 3:31 PM EST and filed on 3/1/2007

Case Name:

LG.Philips LCD Co. v. Tatung Co., et al

Case Number:

1:04-cv-343

liler:

Tatung Co.

Tatung Company of America Inc.

Jocument Number: 519

Jocket Text:

NOTICE OF SERVICE of of Notice of Deposition of Rebecca Goldman Rudich by Tatung Co., Tatung Company of America Inc..(Gaza, Anne)

:04-cy-343 Notice has been electronically mailed to:

dam Balick abalick@bgbde.com, jzielinski@bgbde.com

effrey B. Bove jbove@cblh.com, dhallowell@cblh.com, dkt@cblh.com

.ora A. Brzezynski Ibrzezynski@mckennalong.com

oanne Ceballos iceballos@bgbde.com, jzielinski@bgbde.com

lass W. Christenson cchristenson@mckennalong.com

rederick L. Cottrell, III cottrell@rlf.com

nne Shea Gaza gaza@rlf.com, innis@rlf.com

ames D. Heisman iheisman@cblh.com, dhallowell@cblh.com, dkt@cblh.com

/alerie Ho hov@gtlaw.com

ichard D. Kirk bankserve@bayardfirm.com, rkirk@bayardfirm.com

1ark H. Krietzman krietzmanm@gtlaw.com

aclyn Michele Mason jmason@cblh.com, dhallowell@cblh.com, dkt@cblh.com

rank C. Merideth, Jr meridethf@gtlaw.com

cott R. Miller smiller@cblh.com

'incent J. Poppiti poppiti@blankrome.com

racy R. Roman troman@raskinpeter.com

:04-cv-343 Notice has been delivered by other means to:

he following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

locument description: Main Document

)riginal filename:n/a

llectronic document Stamp:

STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1079733196 [Date=3/1/2007] [FileNumber=350966-0] 329c958125d5a8018a666fa75dc66ab7ac597361fcceb09279e53e1e0f26cf1b5cee9 179451e3b2dbfd19d9c949991e0b13eddf67eda8a4fab13ea260b99371]]

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 799-2 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 6 of 12

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 3 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2007 I caused to be served by hand delivery the foregoing document and electronically filed the same with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Richard D. Kirk, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue #900 Wilmington, DE 19899 Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire
James Heisman, Esquire
Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2007 I caused to be sent the foregoing document to the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated below:

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Gaspare J. Bono, Esquire
Rel S. Ambrozy, Esquire
Lora A. Brzezynski, Esquire
Cass W. Christenson, Esquire
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Tracy R. Roman, Esquire Raskin Peter Rubin & Simon LLP 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Scott R. Miller, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)

Gaza@rlf.com

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 799-2 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 7 of 12

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 4 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG PHILIPS LCD CO, LTD,

Plaintiff,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-343

TATUNG CO.; TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.; AND VIEWSONIC CORPORATION

Defendants.

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF REBECCA GOLDMAN RUDICH

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP"), Defendants Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America will take the deposition of Rebecca Goldman Rudich beginning on March 21, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Greenberg Traurig, LLP located at 800 Connecticut Avenue, N W Suite 500, Washington, D C. 20006 or at such other time and/or place as counsel for all parties may agree in writing. The deposition will be conducted upon oral examination before a certified court reporter authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed. The deposition will be recorded by videotape and stenographically, and may use technology that permits the real time display of the deposition transcript. Parties wishing to see the real time display must supply their own computer.

52144736,1 LA 126728825v1 2/22/2007 Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519

Filed 03/01/2007

Page 5 of 9

All parties are invited to attend and cross-examine.

Of Counsel:
Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)
Richards, Layton & Finger, P A.
One Rodney Square
P O. Box 551
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
(302) 651-7700
cottrell@rlf.com
gaza@rlf.com

Frank E. Merideth, Jr.
Mark H. Krietzman
Valerie W. Ho
Steve Hassid
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Telephone: 310-586-7700

Attorneys for Defendant Tatung Company

Dated: February 27, 2007

52144738 1

2

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF

Document 519

Filed 03/01/2007

Page 6 of 9

OAO 88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoens in a Civil Case

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LG PHILIPS LCD CO, LTD

V.
TATUNG CO; TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA,
INC.; and VIEWSONIC CORPORATION

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

Case Number: 04-343 USDC, District of Delaware

	·
TO: Rebecca Goldman Rudich	
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street NW	
Washington, DC 20006-1108	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States Distri	ct court at the place, date, and time specified below to
testify in the above case	
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	The second secon
	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time in the above case	e specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Greenberg Traurig LLP	DATE AND TIME
800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006	March 21, 2007 10:00 am
PLACE	DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following	g premises at the date and time specified below
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoensed for the takin directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify of matters on which the person will testify Federal Rules of Civil Proceed	on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OF	DR DEFENDANT) DATE
Attorney for Defendants Tatung Co.	and Tatung Co. of America February 27, 2007
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER VALERIE HO, ESQ, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, 2450 Colorado 7700	Avenue, Suite 400E, Santa Monica. CA 90404 (310) 586-
(See Rule 45 Federal Rules of Civil Proceds	ne Subdivisions (c) (d) and (e), on new page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of iscumice, state district under case number

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF

Document 519

Filed 03/01/2007

Page 7 of 9

AO 88 (Rev 12/06) Subpoens in a Civi	l Case				
			_		
PROOF OF SERVICE					
	DATE	PLACE			
SERVED:					
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE			
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE			
SCRACO DI ILVINI AVANTI		17140			
			_		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEC	LARATION OF SERVER			
l declare under penalty of pe in the Proof of Service is true ar		e United States of America that the foregoing information contained	THE STATE OF		
Executed on					
executed ou	DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER			
•					
		ADDRESS OF SERVER			

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate senction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost carnings and a reasonable attorney's fee

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and petralt inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(7) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit

inspection, copying, testing or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpocus or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premites — or to producing electronically noted information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena stail not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premites except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was intend. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party of an officer of a party from similar examples commanded. significant expense resulting from the Inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the suppoena if a

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to exact to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person rendes, it employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (eXIX/BX(ii)) of this rule, such a person may in order to anead trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclorure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception of waiver applies; or

(1V) subjects a person to undue burden

(B) If a rubpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development. or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclorate of an unretamed experts opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and testifting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial

expense to travel more than 100 miles to around trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoents, quash or modify the subpoent or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial neath for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated the court may order appearance or production only anoitibnos ballipaga nuqu

(D) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPORNA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoend to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the eategories in the demand

(B) If a subpocess does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpocess must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably

(C) A person responding to a subporma need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form

(D) A person responding to a subpoens need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of unitso burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought ment show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources of the requesting party shows good cause considering the limitations of Rule 26(0)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery (2)(A) When information subject to a subpoens is withheld on a claim that it is privileged.

or subject to protestion as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the domanding party to contest the claim

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of princetion as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being positive, an party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under sent for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclused the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(c) CONTENIT Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purporu to require a nonparty to anend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (AKCK3)

American LegaiNet, Inc.

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 8 of 9

1	PROOF OF SERVICE							
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES							
3 4 5	I am employed in the aforesaid county, State of California; I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E, Santa Monica, CA 90404.							
6 7	On February 28, 2007, I served the NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF NEC ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. PURSUANT TO RULE 30(b)(6) on the							
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST							
9 10								
11								
12	(BY MAIL)							
13	I deposited such envelope in the mail at Santa Monica, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid.							
14	□ I am readily familiar with the business practice of my place of employment in							
15	respect to the collection and processing of correspondence, pleadings and notices for mailing with United States Postal Service. The foregoing sealed envelope							
16								
17	ll determite negtore thereon fully prepaid at Santa Monica, California, in the							
18								
19	California that the foregoing is true and correct.							
20	(FEDERAL) I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am employed at the office of a member of							
21	the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.							
22	Executed on February 28, 2007, at Santa Monica, California.							
23	Monica a-Solozzano							
24	Signature							
25 26	Monica A. Solorzano Print Name							
27								
28								
	II							

A 126728826v1 2/22/2007

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 799-2 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 12 of 12

Case 1:04-cv-00343-JJF Document 519 Filed 03/01/2007 Page 9 of 9

SERVICE LIST

Cass W. Christenson, Esq. McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

2

6

10

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28 Tracy Roman, Esq. Raskin Peter Rubin & Simon LLP 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Richard D. Kirk, Esq.
Ashley B. Stitzer
The Bayard Firm
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900
P.O. Box 25130
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130

Jeffrey B. Bove, Esq. Jaclyn M. Mason, Esq. Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 1008 North Orange Street P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899-2207

Scott R. Miller, Esq. Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 355 S. Grand Ave., Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esq. Anne Shea Gaza, Esq. Richards, Layton & Finger One Rodney Square P.O. Box 551 Wilmington, DE 19899

LA 126728826v1 2/22/2007